## 1. Preliminaries

1.1. Lefschetz-decomposition of forms. Now suppose that  $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is a 2*m*-dimensional Euclidean vector space with compatible almost complex structure J. We fix an orthonormal basis such a construction of the comparison and set compares of a construction of the interval of the formation of the formation of the comparison of the compari

 $\langle Jv, w \rangle$  corresponds to an element  $\omega$  in  $\wedge^2 V^*$ . Namely,

$$\begin{split} \omega &= \sum_{1 \leq k < \ell \leq m} \langle Jx^k, x^\ell \rangle x^k \wedge x^\ell + \langle Jx^k, y^\ell \rangle x^k \wedge y^\ell + \langle Jy^k, y^\ell \rangle y^k \wedge y^\ell \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m x^k \wedge y^k \end{split}$$

or in terms of the basis  $z^1, \ldots, z^m, \overline{z}^1, \ldots, \overline{z}^m$ 

$$\omega = \frac{i}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{m} (z^k + \overline{z}^k) \wedge (\overline{z}^k - z^k) = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m} z^k \wedge \overline{z}^k.$$

Now define the operator  $L: \wedge^k V^* \to \wedge^{k+2} V^*$  by  $L(\alpha) = \omega \wedge \alpha$  and let  $\Lambda: \wedge^{k+2} V^* \to \wedge^k V^*$ be its adjoint with respect to the inner product on  $\wedge^* V^*$  induced by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . We further define  $B: \wedge^k V^* \to \wedge^k V^*$  by  $B|_{\wedge^k V^*} = (m-k)$ id.

Proposition 1.1. The following identities hold:

$$[B, \Lambda] = 2\Lambda, [B, L] = -2L$$
 and  $[\Lambda, L] = B$ .

Thus,  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \Lambda$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto L$ , and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto B$  define a representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$  on  $\wedge^* V^*$ . Proof. We have

$$[B,\Lambda]|_{\wedge^{k+2}V^*} = (m-k)\Lambda|_{\wedge^{k+2}V^*} - (m-k-2)\Lambda|_{\wedge^{k+2}V^*} = 2\Lambda|_{\wedge^{k+2}V^*}.$$

Similarly, one concludes that [B, L] = -2L. Now let  $\alpha, \beta$  be arbitrary k-forms. We have

$$\langle \Lambda(L(\alpha)), \beta \rangle = \langle L\alpha, L\beta \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \langle \omega \wedge \alpha, x^k \wedge y^k \wedge \beta \rangle.$$

We further compute

$$\langle \omega \wedge \alpha, x^k \wedge y^k \wedge \beta \rangle = \langle (i_{x_k}\omega) \wedge \alpha + \omega \wedge i_{x_k}\alpha, y^k \wedge \beta \rangle$$
  
=  $\langle y^k \wedge \alpha + \omega \wedge i_{x_k}\alpha, y^k \wedge \beta \rangle$   
=  $\langle \alpha - y^k \wedge i_{y_k}\alpha - x^k \wedge i_{x_k}\alpha + \omega \wedge i_{y_k}i_{x_k}\alpha, \beta \rangle$ 

Now note that for  $\eta = x^{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge x^{i_r} \wedge y^{j_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge y^{j_s}$  we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} x^k \wedge i_{x_k} \eta + y^k \wedge i_{y_k} \eta = (r+s)\eta,$$

and therefore

$$\langle \Lambda(L(\alpha)), \beta \rangle = (m-k) \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle + \langle \omega \wedge \Lambda(\alpha), \beta \rangle = \langle B\alpha + L(\Lambda(\alpha)), \beta \rangle.$$

Complex linearly extending the maps  $\Lambda$ , L and B, we hence also obtain a representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$  on  $\wedge^*_{\mathbb{C}}V^* := (\wedge^*V^*) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A form  $\alpha \in \wedge_{\mathbb{C}}^k V^*$  is called *primitive*, if  $\Lambda \alpha = 0$ . The space of all primitive k-forms is denoted  $P^k_{\mathbb{C}}$ , and the space of all real primitive k-forms is denoted  $P^k$ .

Theorem 1.3 (Lefschetz decomposition).

(1) We have decompositions

$$\wedge^k V^* = \bigoplus_{j \ge 0} L^j(P^{k-2j}) \text{ and } \wedge^k_{\mathbb{C}} V^* = \bigoplus_{j \ge 0} L^j(P^{k-2j}_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

- (2) The primitive space  $P_{\mathbb{C}}^k$  is trivial for k > m. (3) The map  $L^k \colon \wedge_{\mathbb{C}}^{m-k} V^* \to \wedge_{\mathbb{C}}^{m+k} V^*$  is an isomorphism for all  $k \ge 0$ . (4) We have  $P_{\mathbb{C}}^k = \{ \alpha \in \wedge_{\mathbb{C}}^k V^* \mid L^{m-k+1} \alpha = 0 \}$  for all  $k \le m$ .

*Proof.* We consider  $\wedge^*_{\mathbb{C}} V^*$  as a (finite-dimensional)  $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ -representation. Then

$$\wedge_{\mathbb{C}}^* V^* = \bigoplus_{\ell \ge 0} \bigoplus_{r=1}^{N_{\ell}} W_{\ell,r},$$

where each  $W_{\ell,r} \subseteq \wedge_{\mathbb{C}}^* V^*$  is an irreducible  $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ -subrepresentation of highest weight  $\ell$ . By construction,  $\wedge_{\mathbb{C}}^{m-k}V^*$  is the eigenspace of B for the eigenvalue k, and thus

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}^{m-k} V^* = \bigoplus_{\ell \ge 0} \bigoplus_{r=1}^{N_{\ell}} (W_{\ell,r})_k$$

$$= \bigoplus_{j \ge 0} \bigoplus_{r=1}^{N_{k+2j}} (W_{k+2j,r})_k$$

$$= \bigoplus_{j \ge 0} \bigoplus_{r=1}^{N_{k+2j}} L^j ((W_{k+2j,r})_{k+2j})$$

$$= \bigoplus_{j \ge 0} L^j \left( P_{\mathbb{C}}^{m-(k+2j)} \right).$$

Taking k = m-t, the first identity follows, as the canonical map  $P^t \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \to P^t_{\mathbb{C}}$  is an isomorphism.

Since  $L^k|_{(W_{\ell,r})_k} : (W_{\ell,r})_k \to (W_{\ell,r})_{-k}$  is always an isomorphism, the third claim follows. Likewise, the map  $\Lambda^t|_{(W_{\ell,r})_{-t}}$  is an isomorphism, whence  $\Lambda$  is necessarily injective on  $(W_{\ell,r})_{-t}$ . Since  $\wedge_{\mathbb{C}}^{m+t}V^*$  is the sum of all  $(W_{\ell,r})_{-t}$ , it thus follows that  $P^{m+t} = 0$ , proving the second assertion. Finally, note that  $P^k$  is the sum of all  $(W_{m-k,r})_{m-k}$ , which is precisely the kernel of  $L^{m-k+1}$  on  $\wedge^k_{\mathbb{C}} V^*$ , provided that  $k \leq m$ . 

**Remark 1.4.** If we extend the inner product on  $\wedge^* V$  complex linearly, then  $\langle \alpha, \varphi \wedge \beta \rangle = \langle i_{\varphi^{\sharp}} \alpha, \beta \rangle$ for all  $\alpha \in \wedge_{\mathbb{C}}^{k+1}V^*$ ,  $\beta \in \wedge_{\mathbb{C}}^kV^*$ , and  $\varphi \in \wedge_{\mathbb{C}}^1V^*$ , where we also extended  $\sharp: V^* \to V$  complex linearly. Since

$$\langle 2\overline{z}_k, v \rangle = \langle x_k + iy_k, v \rangle = z^k(v)$$

and similarly  $2(z_k)^{\sharp} = \overline{z}_k$ , we see that  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \neq 0$  for forms  $\alpha, \beta$  of type (p,q) and (r,s) only if (p,q) = (s,r), that is, if  $\beta$  is of type (q,p).

This observation shows that  $\Lambda$  must map forms of type (p,q) to forms of type (p-1,q-1). In fact, if  $\alpha$  is of type (p,q) and  $\beta$  is not of type (q-1, p-1), then

$$\langle \Lambda \alpha, \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha, L\beta \rangle = 0,$$

since L is homogeneous of bidegree (1,1). Therefore,  $\Lambda \alpha$  must be of type (p-1, q-1), and so we have  $P_{\mathbb{C}}^k = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} P^{p,q}$ , with  $P^{p,q} = P^{p+q} \cap \wedge^{p,q} V^*$ . It follows that

$$\wedge^{p,q}V^* = \bigoplus_{j\geq 0} \left( L^j(P^{p+q-2j}_{\mathbb{C}}) \cap \wedge^{p,q}V^* \right) = \bigoplus_{j\geq 0} L^j(P^{p-j,q-j}).$$

1.2. Consequences for Kähler manifolds. Let (M, g, J) be a compact Kähler manifold of real dimension 2m with fundamental form  $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$ . The operator  $L: \Omega^*(M) \to \Omega^*(M)$ ,  $\alpha \mapsto \omega \wedge \alpha$ , coincides, in each fiber, with the operator L defined in the previous section. Let  $\Lambda$ be the adjoint of L with respect to the inner product on  $\Omega^*(M)$ .

**Theorem 1.5** (Hard Lefschetz Theorem). The map  $L^k \colon H^{m-k}(M; \mathbb{K}) \to H^{m+k}(M; \mathbb{K})$  is an isomorphism for every  $k \geq 0$ . Furthermore, there is a decomposition

$$H^{k}(M;\mathbb{K}) = \bigoplus_{j\geq 0} L^{j}(H^{k-2j}(M;\mathbb{K})_{0}),$$

where  $H^{\ell}(M; \mathbb{K})_0 = \ker L^{m-\ell+1}|_{H^{\ell}(M;\mathbb{K})}$  for  $\ell \leq m$  and  $H^{\ell}(M; \mathbb{K})_0 = 0$  for  $\ell > m$  is the space of primitive forms. The space of primitive forms further decomposes as

$$H^{\ell}(M;\mathbb{K})_{0} = \bigoplus_{p+q=\ell} H^{p,q}(M;\mathbb{K})_{0},$$

where  $H^{\ell}(M; \mathbb{K})^{p,q}_0 = H^{\ell}(M; \mathbb{K})_0 \cap H^{p,q}(M; \mathbb{C}).$ 

Proof. Denote by  $\mathcal{H}^k \subseteq \Omega^k(M; \mathbb{K})$  the space of harmonic k-forms with respect to the exterior derivative d (and the metric g). By the Hodge–Theorem, the map  $\mathcal{H}^k \to H^k(M; \mathbb{K}), \alpha \mapsto [\alpha]$ , is an isomorphism. Moreover, since M is Kähler, the Laplacian  $\Delta$  commutes with L, and thus L maps harmonic forms to harmonic forms. Since  $L^k: \Omega^{m-k}(M; \mathbb{K}) \to \Omega^{m+k}(M; \mathbb{K})$  is an isomorphism by the Lefschetz decomposition (theorem 1.3), so is  $L^k: \mathcal{H}^{m-k} \to \mathcal{H}^{m+k}$ . Therefore, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}^{m-k} & \xrightarrow{L^k} & \mathcal{H}^{m+k} \\ \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ H^{m-k}(M; \mathbb{K}) & \xrightarrow{L^k} & H^{m+k}(M; \mathbb{K}). \end{array}$$

and since the upper row is an isomorphism, so must be the lower row. This proves the first statement. For the second statement, we use theorem 1.3 again: we know that

$$\Omega^k(M) = \bigoplus_{j \ge 0} L^j(P^{k-2j}),$$

where  $P^{\ell} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega^{\ell}(M) \mid L^{m-\ell+1}\alpha = 0 \}$  for  $\ell \leq m$  and  $P^{\ell} = 0$  for  $\ell > m$ . Since L commutes with  $\Delta$ , we hence also have  $\mathcal{H}^k = \bigoplus_j L^j(P^{k-2j} \cap \mathcal{H}^{k-2j})$ , and the isomorphism  $\mathcal{H}^{k-2j} \to H^{k-2j}(M; \mathbb{K})$  takes  $P^{k-2j} \cap \mathcal{H}^{k-2}$  to  $H^{k-2j}(M; \mathbb{K})_0$ .

**Remark 1.6.** Note that  $\dim H^{\ell}(M; \mathbb{K})_0 = \dim H^{\ell}(M; \mathbb{K}) - \dim H^{\ell-2}(M; \mathbb{K}) = b_{\ell}(M) - b_{\ell-2}(M)$ .

## 2. Hodge-Riemann bilinear relations

2.1. The Hodge–Riemann bilinear form on Hermitian vector spaces. Let  $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a 2m-dimensional Euclidean vector space with compatible almost complex structure J and fundamental form  $\omega$ . Endow V with the canonical orientation induced by J and let  $\text{vol} \in \wedge^{2m}V^*$  be the corresponding volume form, i. e.  $\text{vol} = (x^1 \wedge y^1) \wedge \ldots \wedge (x^m \wedge y^m)$ , where  $x^1, \ldots, x^m, y^1, \ldots, y^m$  is the basis dual to an orthonormal basis  $x_1, \ldots, x_m, y_1, \ldots, y_m$  with  $Jx_k = y_k$  for all k.

**Definition 2.1.** The Hodge-Riemann bilinear form  $Q: \wedge^k_{\mathbb{C}} V^* \times \wedge^k_{\mathbb{C}} V^* \to \mathbb{C}$  is defined by

$$Q(\alpha,\beta) \cdot \text{vol} = (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \cdot \alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \omega^{m-k}.$$

**Remark 2.2.** Note that Q is zero when restricted to  $\wedge^{p,q}V^* \times \wedge^{r,s}V^*$ , unless (p,q) = (s,r). Indeed, if  $\alpha$  is of type (p,q) and  $\beta$  is of type (r,s), with k = p + q, then  $\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \omega^{m-k}$  is of type (p+r+m-k, q+s+m-k) and a multiple of the volume form, which is of type (m,m). Thus, in order for  $Q(\alpha,\beta)$  to be non-zero, we must have p+r+m-k = m or equivalently r = k - p = q. Similarly, one concludes that s = p.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $\alpha \in \wedge_{\mathbb{C}}^k V^*$  be primitive. Then

$$*\alpha = (-1)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{(m-k)!} \cdot L^{m-k} J^*(\alpha).$$

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $\alpha \in \wedge_{\mathbb{C}}^k V^*$  be a primitive form of type (p,q). Then we have

$$i^{p-q} \cdot Q(\alpha, \overline{\alpha}) = (m-k)! \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} > 0,$$

where  $\langle \gamma, \beta \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \langle \gamma, \overline{\beta} \rangle$  is the Hermitian product induced by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . *Proof.* By definition,

$$Q(\alpha, \overline{\alpha}) \text{vol} = (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \cdot \alpha \wedge \overline{\alpha} \wedge \omega^{m-k}$$
$$= (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \cdot \alpha \wedge L^{m-k} \overline{\alpha}$$

Since  $\alpha$  is of type (p,q), we have  $J^*\overline{\alpha} = i^{q-p} \cdot \overline{\alpha}$ , and thus

$$L^{m-k}\overline{\alpha} = (-1)^{k(k+1)} \cdot \frac{(m-k)!}{(m-k)!} \cdot i^{p-q} L^{m-k} J^*\overline{\alpha}$$
$$= (-1)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} \cdot (m-k)! \cdot i^{p-q} * \overline{\alpha}.$$

Therefore,

$$Q(\alpha,\overline{\alpha}) = (-1)^k \cdot i^{p-q} \cdot (m-k)! \cdot \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$$

and since  $i^{2(p-q)} = (-1)^{p+q} = (-1)^k$ , the claim follows.

**Example 2.5.** We have  $(\wedge^{1,1}V^*) \cap \wedge^2 V^* = \mathbb{R}\omega \oplus P^{1,1}$  by the Lefschetz–decomposition. This decomposition is orthogonal, for if  $\alpha \in P^{1,1}$ , then  $\alpha \wedge \omega \wedge \omega^{m-2} = L^{m-1}\alpha = 0$ . Moreover, Q is negative–definite on  $\mathbb{R}\omega$  (since  $Q(\omega, \omega)$ vol =  $-\omega^m = -m!$ vol) and positive–definite on on  $P^{1,1}$ , since for  $\alpha \in P^{1,1}$  we have  $\overline{\alpha} = \alpha$ , so that  $Q(\alpha, \alpha) = (m-2)! \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle > 0$ .

## 2.2. The intersection form on Kähler manifolds.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let (M, g, J) be a real 2m-dimensional, compact Kähler manifold with fundamental form  $\omega$ . For all closed k-forms  $\alpha$  with  $[\alpha] \in H^{p,q}(M; \mathbb{K})_0$  we have

$$i^{p-q} \cdot (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \cdot \int_M \alpha \wedge \overline{\alpha} \wedge \omega^{m-k} > 0$$

*Proof.* Since the integral only depends on the cohomology class, we may assume  $\alpha$  to be harmonic and primitive. Then

$$i^{p-q} \cdot (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \cdot \int_M \alpha \wedge \overline{\alpha} \wedge \omega^{m-k} = \int_M \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{vol} > 0.$$

**Remark 2.7.** Let (M, g, J) be a 2m-dimensional, compact Kähler manifold.

(1) Let  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  be closed k-forms of types (p,q) and (r,s), respectively, such that  $[\alpha]$ ,  $[\beta]$  are primitive. We have already seen, that

$$\tilde{Q}(\alpha,\beta) := (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \cdot \int_{M} \alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \omega^{m-k} = 0,$$

unless  $\beta$  is of type (q, p). Since  $\tilde{Q}(L^{\ell}\alpha, L^{\ell}\beta) = \tilde{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$ , the Hard Lefschetz theorem implies that  $\tilde{Q}$  is non–degenerate on  $H^{\ell}(M; \mathbb{K})$  for all  $\ell \geq 0$ .

(2) If  $p + q \equiv 0 \mod 2$ , then p and q have the same parity. Thus, with k = p + q, we have  $r_{p-q} = (-1)^{k(k-1)} = r_{p-q+1}(p+q)(p+q-1) = r_{p-q-p-q+1}(p+q)^2 = (-1)^{q}$ 

$$i^{p-q} \cdot (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} = i^{p-q+(p+q)(p+q-1)} = i^{p-q-p-q+(p+q)^2} = (-1)^q,$$

and hence  $\tilde{Q}$  is positive–definite on  $H^{p,q}(M;\mathbb{K})_0$  with  $p,q \equiv 0 \mod 2$ , and negative–definite, if  $p,q \equiv 1 \mod 2$ .